ROUTING AND TRANSMITTAL SLIP	23 APR 86	· .			,	EXEC	CUTIVE S	ECRETA	ARIAT	
Blame, office symbol, mem number,	Initials	Dete		,			KUU	I ING SLIP	ニ人-	1676
				70		-3	ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
DIRECTOR OF INFORMATION SERVICES	1.0	-		TO:		DCI	ALTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
			İ			DDCI				
	100				_	EXDIR		X		
		<u> </u>	· ·			D/ICS				
			. !		5	DDI				
				(_	DDA	Х			
					ļ	DDO				
file File	Note and Retu					DDS&T				
For Clearance For Correction	Per Conversati Prepare Reply					Chm/NIC				ļ
For Your Information	See Me				<u></u>	GC IG				
Investigate	Signature .					Compt				
Justify	1	·				D/OLL				
		•				D/PAO				
IL SURFRON			İ			D/PERS				
THE PARTY ADDRODUTATE DE	COCATOR LITTE	E 7			16	VC/NIC				
PREPARE APPROPRIATE RE	SPUNSE WIT	n			17	C/IPD		Χ		
TO ER AND EXO/DDA.					18					
					19					
			•		20					
					21					
		•			22	0110051105	l			<u> </u>
		* *				SUSPENSE		Date		
		:		Remarks	То	6: Pleas	e have ar	propria	te respo	nse
					pre	pared。 (Provide o	opy to	ER.)	
MOT wee this form as a RECORD of approvals,	concurrences, c	disposals,								
			- !							
		_							Ekricutive 22 Apr	Secretory
										Date Date
		<u>ə</u>	ļ	3637	10-81)					
Admit a see a set the (148) FPMR (41 CF)	b 101-11.206									

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/08/29 : CIA-RDP88G01332R000901120010-8

86-1676X

PORTER, WRIGHT, MORRIS & ARTHUR

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1133 15TH STREET, N.W., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005 TELEPHONE: (202) 822-0840 TELECOPIER: (202) 822-9595 TELEX: (810) 482-1702 41 SOUTH HIGH STREET COLUMBUS, OHIO 43215 TELEPHONE: (614) 227-2000 TELECOPIER: (614) 227-2100

1650 ATRIUM ONE, 201 EAST FOURTH STREET CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202 TELEPHONE: (513) 381-4700 TELECOPIER: (513) 421-0991

925 EUCLID AVENUE SUITE 1500 HUNTINGTON BUILDING CLEVELAND, OHIO 44115 TELEPHONE: (216) 443-9000 TELECOPIER: (216) 443-9011

April 17, 1986

2100 FIRST NATIONAL BANK BUILDING P.O. BOX 1805 DAYTON, OHIO 45401 TELEPHONE: (513) 228-2411 TELECOPIER: (513) 228-0600

Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D.C. 20505

Subject: Freedom of Information Act Procedures at CIA

Dear Mr. Director:

STAT

I am sending copies of the attached correspondence to you, despite the many pressing items on your agenda, because I find the results so unacceptable that I think it deserves your attention.

I initially filed a Freedom of Information request with the Agency on December 10, 1982. The inquiry related not to some matter of historical interest or of journalism, but to the whereabouts of two missing children of American citizens, who disappeared in Colombia. This kind of inquiry has some inherent urgency about it, even though, as we now assume, the persons in question are probably dead.

Notwithstanding the above, it took the Agency until April 8, 1986 to finally dispose of this inquiry by finally releasing to us a cable of extremely marginal relevance and withholding two documents in their entirety without any indication of whether or not they contain any information which might be useful to the family.

There must be a way to place certain inquiries on a priority list particularly when they deal with missing persons or situations of similar urgency.

Finally, the form letter used by the FOIA Office for such situations reads like a bureaucratic joke. It states the basis on which the two documents are denied but provides no information which could conceivably serve as the basis for appeal. Nevertheless, the letter requires that the basis for appeal be explained.

- 2 -

As a former government attorney, I must say I paid less attention to Freedom of Information requests when I was within the government than perhaps I should have. The concerns expressed here are, I believe, serious and I hope that you will take steps to review the process and to determine whether there is not some basis on which we can learn something about the contents about the two documents which have been withheld.

STAT

	Very truly yours,	
JLK:nt		
Attachments		
cc:		STAT
information and	Privacy Coordinator	

PORTER, WRIGHT, MORRIS & ARTHUR

Central Intelligence Agency





0 8 APR 1986

Porter, Wright, Morris & Arthur 1133 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

STAT	Attention:	
STAT	Dear	

STAT

STAT STAT

STAT

During the course of its search for documents in response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, on behalf of of 10 December 1982, the Department of State located three documents originated by this Agency. They have been referred to us for review and direct response to you.

After a careful examination of the material, it has been determined that one document, a cable dated 4 January 1983, may be released to you in a segregable form. Deletions were made on the basis of the (b)(3) exemption of the FOIA. A copy of the segregable portion of the document and explanation of the exemptions are enclosed under Tab A.

The remaining two documents, reports dated 29 April and 14 May 1982 are denied in their entirety on the basis of the (b)(1) and (b)(3) exemptions of the FOIA.

<u>The CIA officials respons</u>	ible for these determinations are
, Directorate of	Operations, Information Review
Officer and	Directorate of Science and
Technology, Chief, Management	Staff.

STAT STAT

Enclosed under Tab B is a	a_copy of our 29 December 1983
letter to	of your firm providing him with
the results of his FOIA reque	est (F82-0964) on behalf of
As inc	dicated in our letter, an exception was
made, the responsive substance	ce was extracted from the two denied
reports, recast into two sepa	arate documents and copies furnished to

You may appeal this decision by addressing your appeal to the CIA Information Review Committee, in my care. Should you decide to do this, please explain the basis of your appeal.

We apologize for the length of time it has taken to respond to your request.

		Sin	cerely,	
Inform	ation	and	Privacy	Coordinator

STAT

Enclosures



2 9 DEC 1983

STAT

Porter, Wright, Morris and Arthur 1133 15th Street, NW Washington, DC 20005

STAT

Dear

STAT STAT STAT This is our final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request of 10 December 1982 in which, on behalf of your clients, you requested information concerning

of the Agency's Office of General Counsel alerted you earlier that the Agency's response to your client's FOIA request is being sent in an unusual form. This letter will confirm what you have been told and also transmit the information CIA has which is responsive to the FOIA request.

The search for responsive documents resulted in the retrieval of two CIA records. They have been reviewed with particular care. The documents in their original form are clearly exempt in their entirety pursuant to FOIA exemptions (b) (l) and (b) (3). An explanation of the exemptions and the related statutes is enclosed at Tab A. They are exempt in entirety principally because of the form in which they appear. That form is incidental to the substance which your clients are interested in receiving. After several false starts it became apparent that it was impossible to treat the documents in such a manner as to remove all exempt information without removing the responsive substance as well. Normally in such circumstances the Agency would merely deny the documents in entirety.

Recognizing the anxious concerns of your clients, the Agency made an exception. The responsive substance has been extracted from the documents and recast in two separate documents which are enclosed with this letter. There was no attempt to paraphrase the substance. The language was lifted almost verbatim from the original documents. We recognize and have earlier stated to you that our information adds little, if anything, to that available in the State Department documents that have already been released to you. I want to assure you, nowever, the Agency has made a conscientious effort to be as

STAT

fortncoming as possible. The determination to withhold the remaining portions of the documents was made by Information Review Officer for the Directorate of Operations of CIA.

STAT

I am informing you of your right to appeal the above decisions by addressing your appeal to the CIA Information Review Committee, in my care. Should you decide to do this, please explain the basis of your appeal.

We apologize for our long response time.

Sincerely,

/5/ Larry R. Stone A. St.

Larry R. Strawderman Information and Privacy Coordinator

Enclosures

